

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.hspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/046,497	10/26/2001	Er-Xuan Ping	MTI-31041-A	8624	
22202 7	590 04/10/2003				
WHYTE HIRSCHBOECK DUDEK S C			EXAMINER		
SUITE 2100	SCONSIN AVENUE		LE, THAO X		
MILWAUKEE	E, WI 53202		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2814		
			DATE MAILED: 04/10/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Offic Acti in Summary Taxaminer	'		Ammiliandian No.		
Examiner			Application No.	plicant(s)	
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MALIUM DATE of this communication appears on the c ver sheet with the correspondence address— Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MALING DATE of THIS COMMUNICATION. If the pecid for the property of the pecid for reply seconded above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (50) days, and the size (6) MONTHS from the malining date of this communication. If the pecid for reply seconded above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (50) days, and it is communication. If the pecid for reply seconded above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (50) days, and it is communication. If the pecid for reply seconded above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (50) days will be considered timely. If the pecid for reply seconded above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (50) days will be considered timely. If the pecid for reply seconded above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (50) days will be considered timely. If this pecidication is located to the seconded and the maling date of the communication. Status A) [S] Claim(s) [S] (S] (S) [S] (Offic Acti n	Summanı	10/046,497	PING ET AL.	
The MAILING DATE If this communication appears on the c ver sheet with the correspondence address — Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 2 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Lettendent of them gate be waitible under the provision of 3 °CFR 1.78(a), in no event, however, may a raply be timely fixed between the control of the proof of the gate of the proof of reply specified above is the short here. (20) days within the exhibitory minimum of their, (20) days are be considered stroly, at the period for reply specified above, the minimum stated or period within the exhibitory minimum of their, (20) days are be considered stroly, at the period for reply specified above, the minimum stated or period within the exhibitor minimum of their, (20) days are be considered stroly, and the considered stroly, and the considered stroly, and the period for reply specified shows the manufactor of the period for reply selected shows. The strong of the period for reply within the maliting date of the communication, even if three period for reply selected shows. The strong of the period for reply advantaged shows the supplication is become Ala, AlbONED (35 u.S. § 133). Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits in closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 101-116.123-223 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 164-145 are withdrawn from consideration. 5b) Claim(s) 101-116.123-135, 137-160, and 165-223 is/are rejected. 7c) Claim(s) 101-116.123-135, 137-160, and 165-223 is/are rejected. 7c) Claim(s) 101-116.123-135, 137-160, and 165-223 is/are rejected. 7d) Claim(s) 101-116.123-135, 137-160, and 165-223 is/are rejected. 7d) Claim(s) 101-116.123-135, 137-160, and 165-223 is/are rejected. 7d) Claim(s) 101-116.123-123-1160, and 165-223 is/are rejected. 11) The proposed drawing conrection filed on 154-145	One Act in	Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Battestore of ther may be auditable under the provision of 37 CF1 139(a). In or event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (p) MONTH's from the mailing date of this communication. - Brito period for reply specified date or lists communication. - Brito period for reply specified date or lists communication. - Brito period for reply specified date or lists communication. - Brito period for reply specified date or lists of the communication. - Brito period for reply specified date or lists of the reply will by stability. Cause the replication of the communication of the communication of the communication. - Private for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stability. Cause the replication, even if timely filed, may reduce any secured plant time adjustment. See 37 CF1 1,70(e). - Status - This action is FINAL. - Solice this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits in closed in accordance with the practice under Exparte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. - Disposition of Claims - Alia Claim(s)	The MAILING DATE	£41:			
status as to allow to show the short the making gase of this commandation, early within the statutory informat of thirty (20) days and be concidered simple). If NO period for regly is appecified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and the application is promised plants term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.75(b). Status 1)	A SHORTENED STATUTO THE MAILING DATE OF TI	RY PERIOD FOR REP	LY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3	MONTH(S) FROM	3SS
This action is FINAL. 2b This action is non-final.	If the period for reply specified above If NO period for reply is specified ab Failure to reply within the set or exte Any reply received by the Office late earned patent term adjustment. See	ing date of this communication. e is less than thirty (30) days, a re ove, the maximum statutory perion nded period for reply will, by statu r than three months after the mail	eply within the statutory minimum of the dwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MC to cause the application to become	nirty (30) days will be considered timely. ONTHS from the mailing date of this comm	nunication.
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits in closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 101-116.123-223 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 161-16 163-164 16		nunication(s) filed on 20	February 2003		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits in closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 101-116.123-223 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 161-164 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved by disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. ttachment(s) Notice of Dratsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Notice of Dratsperson's Pat	· <u>-</u>	_			
Disposition of Claims 4) □ Claim(s) 101-116.123-223 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 161-16.123-123 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s)	3) Since this application	, —		atters prosecution as to the n	norito io
4a) Of the above claim(s) 161-162 151	ciosea in accordance	with the practice unde	r Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C	E.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	icilis is
5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1 ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2 ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3 ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. ttachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>101-116,123</u>	3-223 is/are pending in	the application.		
6) Claim(s) 101-116,123-135, 137-160, and 165-223 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some *c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. ttachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Transperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			awn from consideration.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in his National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. ttachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Notice of Traftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 6) Cither:		,			
Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>101-116,123</u>	-135, 137-160, and 165	-223 is/are rejected.		
Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. ttachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	7) Claim(s) is/are	objected to.			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119(e) (to a provisional application a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. ttachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)	8) Claim(s) are su	bject to restriction and/	or election requirement.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. ttachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 1nformation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 6) Other:					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. ttachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Ittachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. ttachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) Patent and Trademark Office					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. ttachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) Patent and Trademark Office				disapproved by the Examiner.	
Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. ttachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Prafisperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) Patent and Trademark Office					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. ttachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Paffsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) Patent and Trademark Office			Carriller.		
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. ttachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	•		n minuity washes OF II O O	0.440() ()	
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. **ttachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) **Petent and Trademark Office**			in priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. **Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) Other:	<u></u>		to have been received		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. ttachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) Patent and Trademark Office	<u> </u>			mulinakina Al-	
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. ttachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Paftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) Petent and Trademark Office					
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Itachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) Petent and Trademark Office	application fr	om the International Bu	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))	_	je
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Itachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) Patent and Trademark Office	14) Acknowledgment is mad	e of a claim for domesti	c priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(e) (to a provisional app	lication)
ttachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) Patent and Trademark Office	a) The translation of t	he foreign language pro	visional application has be	een received.	,
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) Petent and Trademark Office 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:					
Patent and Trademark Office) 🔲 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra	awing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of I	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nformal Patent Application (PTO-152	
O-326 (Rev. 04-01) Office Action Summary Part of Paper No. 10	Patent and Trademark Office O-326 (Rev. 04-01)	Office Ac	tion Summary	Part of Paner	No. 10

Art Unit: 2814

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

1. Claims 101-102, 106-109, 194, 196-223 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by US 6232641 Miyano et al.

Regarding to claim 101, Miyano discloses a transistor in a semiconductor device fig. 6K comprising, source/drain (S/D) diffusion regions 14/15 formed on the semiconductive region of a substrate 1, the transistor gate 7 formed on the semiconductive region between the S/D diffusion regions 14/15, the transistor gate extending in a vertical orientation from the substrate 1, the transistor gate comprising at least two overlying layers (7/12) of epitaxial silicon, including an uppermost epitaxial layer 12, columns 10 line 17 and column 10 lines 5, each epitaxial silicon

Art Unit: 2814

layer comprising a top surface and insulated sidewalls 11, the uppermost epitaxial silicon layer having an insulated top surface 17.

Regarding to claims 102, 106-109, Miyano discloses a transistor in a semiconductor device fig. 6K comprising wherein source/drain (S/D) diffusion regions 16 are elevated, wherein the epitaxial comprises a facet top surface, column 10 line 65, having a thickness of about 50 nm to 200 nm, column 11 line 10, having a oxide isolation structure 3, fig. 6K

Regarding to claim 194, Miyano discloses a transistor in a semiconductor device fig. 6K comprising, source/drain (S/D) diffusion regions 14/15 disposed on the semiconductive region of a substrate 1, the transistor gate 7 disposed on the semiconductive region between the S/D diffusion regions 14/15, the transistor gate extending in a vertical orientation from the substrate 1, the transistor gate comprising at least two overlying layers (7/12) of epitaxial silicon, wherein a fist epitaxial layer 7 is disposed on the substrate, and the second epitaxial Si layer 12 is disposed on the top surface of the first epitaxial Si layer 7, and an uppermost epitaxial layer 12, columns 10 line 17 and column 10 lines 5, each epitaxial silicon layer comprising a top surface and insulated sidewalls 11, the uppermost epitaxial silicon layer having an insulated top surface 17.

Regarding to claims 196-223, as discussed in claim 194 above, Miyano discloses all the limitations of claims 196-222 including dopant, column 11 line 26, sidewall 11, being a transistor.

The process limitations "removing, selectively grow, repeating, etc.." in claim 203-223, do not carry weight in a claim drawn to structure. In re Thorpe, 277 USPQ 964 (Fed. Cir. 1985).

Art Unit: 2814

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

1. Claims 101-116, 123-130, 132-135, 137-160, 165-223 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US 5397909 to Moslehi in view of US 5902125 to Wu

Regarding to claim 101, Moslehi discloses a transistor in a semiconductor device fig. 19 comprising, source/drain (S/D) diffusion regions 64 formed on the semiconductive region of a substrate 38, the transistor gate 88 formed on the semiconductive region between the S/D diffusion regions 64, the transistor gate extending in a vertical orientation from the substrate 20, the transistor gate comprising at least two overlying layers (50/88) of epitaxial silicon, including an uppermost epitaxial layer 88, columns 14 line 17 and column 18 lines 10-20, each epitaxial silicon layer comprising a top surface and insulated sidewalls 60.

Art Unit: 2814

But Moslehi reference does not expressly disclose a transistor gate wherein an uppermost epitaxial silicon layer having an insulated top surface. At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the teaching of Moslehi's device and apply the insulator on the top surface of the epitaxial layer as claim, because such insulator layer is conventional in the art either to protect the silicon layer or for further connection, see Wu, fig. 8.

Regarding to claims 102, 104-108, 111-115, 124-128, 130, 132-135, 137-147, 150-160, 165-172, 174-175, 177-178, 180-181, 183-185, 187-189, 191-193, Moslehi discloses the transistor wherein the S/D diffusion regions 87 are elevated and extend in a vertical orientation from the substrate adjacent to the transistor gate 50/88, fig. 9, wherein each epitaxial silicon layers of S/D epitaxial silicon layer comprises a conductivity enhancing dopant, fig. 17 column 18 lines 18 and 58, having a facet top surface, wherein each epitaxial silicon layer has the thickness of about 50 nm to about 200nm, column 11 line 41, column 18 line 10, wherein the transistor is isolated by a oxide dielectric isolation trench 42, column 11 line 7, n-type doping, column 13 line 37, disposed adjacent to gate, disposed adjacent to S/D, begin a transistor, being a S/D region, component of transistor, being a transistor gate, fig. 19.

With respect to p-type doping, it is conventional in the art to create PMOS.

With respect to oxide insulative material, Wu reference discloses the oxide 26, column 5 line 15. At the time the invention was made; it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the teaching of Moslehi's device and apply the insulator on the top surface of the epitaxial layer as claim, because such insulator layer is conventional in the art either to protect the silicon layer or for further connection. With

Art Unit: 2814

respect to SiN, replacing SiO with SiN would have been considered a mere substitution of art-recognized equivalent values.

With respect to the thickness silicon oxide film, Wu reference discloses a thick oxide 26, column 5 line 15. Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in art to use or combine (teaching of second reference) in the range as claimed, because it has been held that where the general conditions of the claims are discloses in the prior art, it is not inventive to discover the optimum or workable range by routine experimentation. See In re Aller, 220 F.2d 454, 105 USPQ 233, 235 (CCPA 1955).

With respect to gradient concentration, see column 13 line 45-65 of Moslehi.

Regarding to claim 103, 116, Moslehi reference does not expressly disclose a transistor

gate wherein an uppermost epitaxial silicon layer having an insulated top surface.

At the time the invention was made; it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the teaching of Moslehi's device and apply the insulator on the top surface of the epitaxial layer as claim, because such insulator layer is conventional in the art either to protect the silicon layer or for further connection, see Wu (5902125).

Regarding to claim 110, 123, 129, 143, 149, 173, 176, 179, 182, 186, 190, 194-223 as discussed in the above claims 1-109, Moslehi discloses all the limitations of claims 110, 123, 129, 143, 149, 173, 176, 179, 182, 186, 190, 194-223.

The process limitations "removing, selectively grown, repeating, prior to...etc." in claims 203-223, do not carry weight in a claim drawn to structure. In re Thorpe, 277 USPO 964 (Fed. Cir. 1985).

Art Unit: 2814

2. Claim 131 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US 5397909 to Moslehi in view of US 5963822 to Saihara et al.

Regarding to claim 131, Moslehi does not expressly disclose the facet has a (100) plane orientation.

However, a silicon substrate would have having a plane orientation of (100) or (111) as discloses by Saihara. At the time of the invention was made, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to form an epitaxial layer of Moslehi having a plane orientation of (100), because such orientations are conventional and common for monocrystalline silicon substrate.

substrate.

3. Claims 103-104, 110 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US 6232641 to Miyano et al. in view of US 6051473 to Ishida et al.

Regarding to claim 103, 110, Miyano discloses a transistor in a semiconductor device fig. 6K comprising: a transistor gate 7 disposed on the semiconductive region of the substrate 1, and elevated S/D 16 disposed on the semiconductive region adjacent to the transistor gate 7 extending in a vertical orientation from the substrate 1, and the S/D regions comprises one epitaxial silicon 12 having a top surface, and insulated sidewalls, and an uppermost epitaxial silicon layer 12 having an insulated top surface.

But, Miyano does not disclose the transistor wherein the S/D regions comprise at least two overlaying layers of epitaxial silicon.

However, Ishida reference discloses a transistor in fig. 2 wherein the S/D regions comprise at least two overlaying layers of epitaxial silicon 250 and 260. At the time the invention was made; it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to

Art Unit: 2814

combine the two layers S/D regions teaching of Ishida with Miyano, because it would have suppress hot carrier injection and lower sheet and contact resistance as taught by Ishida, column 2 lines 5-12.

Regarding to claim 104, 111-116, Miyano discloses the transistor wherein the epitaxial layer 12 of S/D regions comprises conductivity enhancing dopant, column 11 line 26, wherein the epitaxial comprises a facet top surface, column 10 line 65, having a thickness of about 50 nm to 200 nm, column 11 line 10, having a oxide isolation structure 3, fig. 6K, having at least two overlaying layers of epitaxial silicon 7/12, fig. 6K.

Regarding to claim 124, 195, as discussed in claims 110-116 above, Miyano and Ishida discloses all the limitations of claims 124,

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims101-193 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

Page 9

Application/Control Number: 10/046,497

Art Unit: 2814

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thao X Le whose telephone number is 703-306-0208. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 8:00 AM - 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wael M Fahmy can be reached on 703-308-4918. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-7722 for regular communications and 703-308-7722 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

Thao X. Le April 3, 2003

PHAT X. CAO PRIMARY EXAMINER

arumkal